Volume 3 Issue 4
www.westlandpublishers.com

ISSN: 3066-3822

WestLand Publishers

Digital Journal of Science (DJS)

Opinion

The Lunch Break Illusion: Why Unpaid Lunchtime CPD in the NHS Is Neither Professional Nor Safe

Jayanti Rai[1*], Partha Kar[2], Jayshri Shah[3]

[1]Physiotherapist, Total Health Excellence Primary Care Network, UK

[2]Prof Partha Kar, Consultant Endocrinologist, Portsmouth University Hospital NHS Trust, UK

[3]Dr Jayshri Shah, Hepatologist & Gastroenterologist, London Northwest University Healthcare NHS Trust, UK

***Corresponding author:** Jayanti Rai, Physiotherapist, Total Health Excellence Primary Care Network, UK, Email: jphysio@gmail.com

Received Date: 29 May, 2026 ; **Published Date:** 03 July, 2026

Keywords:
Continuing Professional Development (CPD); National Health Service (NHS); Lunch breaks; Occupational health; Burnout; Patient safety; Workplace recovery

Citation:
Jayanti R*, Partha K, Jayshri S (2026) The Lunch Break Illusion: Why Unpaid Lunchtime CPD in the NHS Is Neither Professional Nor Safe. Digital J Sci 3(4): 162.

DOI: 10.63592/DJS/162

Abstract

Continuing Professional Development (CPD) in the NHS is routinely conducted during unpaid lunch break time legally and physiologically intended for rest. This article argues that normalising this practice is neither professional nor safe. Compounded by the pervasive workplace habit of eating while watching screens, the lunch break in modern healthcare provides neither genuine rest nor genuine recovery. Structural reform not individual adaptation is required.

Discussion

The Problem

In the NHS, CPD is a regulatory requirement [1]. The General Medical Council, Nursing Medical Council, Health and Care Professionals Council all require practitioners to maintain up-to-date knowledge as a condition of registration. CPD is, by any reasonable definition, work. Yet it is routinely scheduled during the one protected interval in a clinical day: the lunch breaks unpaid, unacknowledged, and increasingly expected. This is not professionalism, It is cost-shifting, The organisation benefits from a competent workforce while the individual absorbs the time and energy required to maintain that competence, at measurable cost to their health and, ultimately, to patient safety.

Rest Is Not Optional

Lunch breaks are protected under the Working Time Regulations 1998 because rest is physiologically necessary, not merely pleasant. Evidence confirms that even brief genuine breaks reduce exhaustion, improve afternoon performance, and lower burnout risk [2]. Replacing rest with CPD undermines both. Fatigued learners retain less, and fatigued clinicians make more errors [3, 4]. Agenda for Change contracts acknowledge the importance of both rest and training, yet provide

no consistent guarantee of protected paid time for CPD. The result is a structural contradiction: mandatory standards, no allocated time. Lunchtime becomes the solution no one officially sanctioned but everyone implicitly expects.

Screen-Based Eating: A Compounding Harm

This problem is significantly worsened by a parallel behaviour endemic in modern workplaces: eating while watching a screen. Whether completing e-learning modules, attending virtual meetings, or checking email, most working adults in the UK now eat lunch in front of a device. The consequences are well evidenced and underappreciated. Distracted eating impairs interoceptive awareness the body's ability to detect fullness resulting in faster eating, greater caloric intake, and poorer satiety encoding. Systematic review evidence shows that screen-based eating not only increases consumption at the meal but also drives greater intake at subsequent meals, as memory of eating is disrupted [5,6].

Physiologically, eating requires parasympathetic nervous system activity the 'rest and digest' state. Screen use, particularly work-related, sustains sympathetic arousal, creating a physiological conflict that impairs gastric motility and contributes to gastrointestinal discomfort [7]. For NHS workers already operating under chronic stress, this represents an additional and avoidable burden. Most critically, psychological detachment from work is the single most important mechanism of recovery during rest periods [8]. Screen use during lunch especially work-related screen use actively prevents detachment. Attending a CPD webinar at one's desk is not a break. It is an extension of the working day, without the pay or the protection.

Equity and Moral Injury

The burden of lunchtime CPD and screen-based eating is not evenly shared. Staff with caring responsibilities, health conditions, or inflexible clinical schedules cannot easily take genuine breaks or find alternative CPD time. The expectation of discretionary effort disproportionately affects those with least control over their time, silently widening workforce inequalities. Clinicians are simultaneously required to remain competent enough and rested enough to practise safely. When the system provides no protected time for the former and erodes the latter, it creates moral injury: the psychological distress of being required to act in ways that conflict with one's professional values [9]. This accumulates. It contributes to burnout, disengagement, and attrition each of which carries its own patient safety risk.

What Must Change

The solution is structural, not individual. CPD must be allocated within paid, contracted hours formally incorporated into job planning and rota design, not left to informal goodwill. Organisations must also actively enable genuine lunch breaks: rest spaces away from screens, cultural permission to step away, and managerial modelling of recovery behaviours. The NHS already plans time for clinics, ward rounds, and administration. Learning and rest deserve the same deliberate allocation. If CPD is essential to safe care, then the time to undertake it, and the recovery time equally required to sustain performance, must both be treated as non-negotiable.

Conclusion

Continuing Professional Development is essential for maintaining clinical competence and delivering safe, high-quality patient care. However, when mandatory learning is routinely undertaken during unpaid lunch breaks, the responsibility for maintaining professional standards is effectively transferred from healthcare organisations to individual clinicians at the expense of their recovery. Genuine rest is not a luxury but a physiological requirement that supports cognitive performance, well-being, and patient safety. The widespread practice of combining CPD with screen-based eating further diminishes the restorative value of lunch breaks and may contribute to fatigue, burnout, and reduced learning effectiveness. In our opinion, CPD and protected rest should be regarded as complementary rather than competing priorities. Providing dedicated paid time for professional development while safeguarding uninterrupted meal breaks would better support staff well-being, enhance learning, and ultimately contribute to safer and more sustainable healthcare delivery.

The lunch break is not a gap to be filled. It is a physiological necessity to be protected. Framing its erosion as professionalism is not only inaccurate — it is dangerous.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Financial Support and Sponsorship: No financial support or sponsorship was received.

References

1. NHS England Workforce, Training and Education (n.d.) Continuous Professional Development. Available at: https://www.hee.nhs.uk
2. Hall LH, Johnson J, Watt I, O'Connor DB (2024) Could breaks reduce GP burnout and improve safety? A daily diary study. PLoS One 19(8): e0307513.
3. Rotenstein LS, Torre M, Ramos MA, Rosales RC, Guille C, et al. (2018) Prevalence of burnout among physicians: a systematic review. JAMA 320(11): 1131-1150.
4. Lockley SW, Barger LK, Ayas NT, Rothschild JM, Czeisler CA, et al. (2007) Effects of health care provider work hours and sleep deprivation on safety and performance. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf 33(11): 7-18.
5. Robinson E, Aveyard P, Daley A, Jolly K, Lewis A, et al. (2013) Eating attentively: a systematic review of the effect of food intake memory and awareness on eating. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 97(4): 728-742.
6. Ogden J, Coop N, Cousins C, Crump R, Field L, et al. (2013) Distraction, the desire to eat and food intake: towards an expanded model of mindless eating. Appetite 62: 119-126.
7. Konturek PC, Brzozowski T, Konturek SJ (2011) Stress and the gut: pathophysiology, clinical consequences, diagnostic approach and treatment options. Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology 62(6): 591-599.
8. Sonnentag S, Fritz C (2007) The Recovery Experience Questionnaire: development and validation of a measure for assessing recuperation and unwinding from work. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology 12(3): 204-221.
9. Hodkinson A, Zhou A, Johnson J, Geraghty K, Riley R, et al. (2022) Associations of physician burnout with career engagement and quality of patient care: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 378: e070442.